

Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) Annual Fugitive Dust Control Report

PLANT NAME: Plant Scherer

OWNER/OPERATOR OF FACILITY: Georgia Power

REPORTING TIMEFRAME: October 19, 2015 – October 19, 2016

PURPOSE: The purpose of this report is to demonstrate compliance with the requirements for the annual CCR fugitive dust control report in 40 CFR § 257.80 (c) of the Coal Combustion Residuals Final Rule. See 80 Fed. Reg. 21,302 (April 17, 2015). This report describes the actions taken by Plant Scherer to control CCR fugitive dust, a record of all citizen complaints and if any, a summary of corrective measures taken.

DESCRIBE THE ACTIONS TAKEN TO CONTROL FUGITIVE DUST.

CCR Units:

Ash Pond 1 (AP-1): Fugitive dust was controlled by maintaining the level of the pond or water suppression, as needed.

Gypsum Storage Area Cell 1 (GSA Cell 1): Fugitive dust was controlled by water suppression, as needed.

PAC Ash Cell: Fugitive Dust was controlled by water suppression, material compaction, and covering ash with conditioned gypsum, as needed.

Roads, CCR management and material handling activities:

Water suppression was used as needed on facility roads used to transport CCR and other CCR management areas to control fugitive dust.

Speed limits were utilized to reduce the potential for fugitive dust.

Trucks used to transport ash and gypsum were filled at or under capacity to reduce the potential for material spillage.

Trucks used to transport PAC ash to the PAC Ash Cell were covered and the ash was conditioned to an appropriate moisture content to reduce the potential for fugitive dust.

Trucks used to transport ash and gypsum off-site were covered.

**HAS THE FACILITY RECEIVED ANY CCR FUGITIVE DUST
CITIZEN COMPLAINTS WITHIN THE REPORTING**

Yes

No

TIMEFRAME?		
-------------------	--	--

IF YES, INCLUDE A RECORD OF ALL CITIZEN COMPLAINTS

Date	Description of Complaint	Corrective Measures (If Any)
11/19/2015	Property owner located in Forsyth, GA stated that "black dust" had discolored items on their property.	After visiting the property and discussing the complaint with the property owner, based on the proximity to the plant's operations and a review of the items on the property, Georgia Power determined Plant Scherer was not the source of the complaint. Therefore, no corrective measures were necessary.

Date	Description of Complaint	Corrective Measures (If Any)