Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR)
Annual Fugitive Dust Control Report

PLANT NAME: Plant Yates

OWNER/OPERATOR OF FACILITY: Georgia Power

REPORTING TIMEFRAME: October 20, 2024 – October 19, 2025

PURPOSE: The purpose of this report is to demonstrate compliance with the requirements for the annual CCR fugitive dust control report in accordance with Georgia Rules for Solid Waste Management 391-3-4-.10(5) [40 CFR § 257.80 (c)]. This report describes the actions taken by Plant Yates to control CCR fugitive dust, a record of all citizen complaints and if any, a summary of corrective measures taken.

DESCRIBE THE ACTIONS TAKEN TO CONTROL FUGITIVE DUST.

CCR Units:
Ash Pond 1 (AP-1): CCR has been removed from AP-1 in accordance with the closure plan. Fugitive dust was controlled by water suppression and/or chemical dust suppressants during the new land disturbing activities initiated in the timeframe covered by this report.

Ash Pond 2 (AP-2): CCR has been removed from AP-2 in accordance with the closure plan.

Ash Pond 3 (AP-3): Fugitive dust was controlled by water suppression, chemical dust suppressants, or by using synthetic cover, as needed.

Ash Pond A (AP-A): CCR has been removed from AP-A in accordance with the closure plan. Additional CCR was removed for the installation of a new transmission structure. Water suppression and a temporary synthetic cover was utilized to control fugitive dust prior to disposal off-site.

Ash Pond B (AP-B): CCR has been removed from AP-B in accordance with the closure plan. Backfilling of the pond with clean fill dirt was also completed within AP-B. Additional CCR was removed from previously inaccessible areas. Water suppression was utilized to control fugitive dust during these activities.

Ash Pond B' (AP-B'): Fugitive dust was controlled by water suppression, chemical dust suppressants, or by using synthetic cover, as needed.

Roads, CCR management and material handling activities:
Water suppression was used as needed on facility roads used to transport CCR and other CCR management areas to control fugitive dust.

Speed limits were utilized to reduce the potential for fugitive dust.

Trucks used to transport CCR were filled at or under capacity to reduce the potential for material spillage.

CCR that was transported via truck on the plant site was conditioned to an appropriate moisture content to reduce the potential for fugitive dust.

HAS THE FACILITY RECEIVED ANY CCR FUGITIVE DUST CITIZEN COMPLAINTS WITHIN THE REPORTING TIMEFRAME?	**Yes**	**No**

IF YES, INCLUDE A RECORD OF ALL CITIZEN COMPLAINTS

Date	Description of Complaint	Corrective Measures (If Any)